Intraspecific and intragenomic variation of Armillaria ostoyae within the western United States

Rocky Ex Mountain Research Station J.W. HANNA (1,2), N.B. Klopfenstein (1), M.-S. Kim (1), G.I. McDonald (1), J.A. Moore (2) (1)USDA Forest Service-RMRS, Moscow, ID 83843; (2) Dept. of Forest Resources, University of Idaho, Moscow, ID 83844

Abstract

Intraspecific and intragenomic variation of Armillaria ostojae were observed through sequencing of ribosomal DNA (rDNA) including nuclear large ribosomal subunit (nLSU), internal transcribed spacer (ITS), 5.85 rDNA, and intergenic spacer (IGS-1). Many of the A. ostojae genets contained heterogeneous sequences, an indication of intragenomic variation/intraspecific hybridization. Intragenomic variation was verified by visual analysis of sequence chromatograms and PCR with specific internal primers. Intraspecific and intragenomic variation was found to exist in all rDNA regions analyzed, with the exception of the 5.85 rDNA. Variation will be further analyzed using Parsimony and Neighbor-Joining methods for phylogenetic analysis. Genetic diversity within A. ostojae can be examined for relationships to ecological function (e.g., pathogenicity, host specificity, habitat type), geographic origin, forest management practices (e.g., fertilization), and interactions among Armillaria genotypes.

Introduction

The fungal genus Armillaria is a group of root-associated basidiomycetes, which includes thirty-nine species worldwide and ten North American biological species (Burdsall 1993). In the western United States A. adopae is a serious plant pathogen that causes root and butt rot on a variety of woody plant hosts. It adversely impacts commercial timber production by causing significant tree mortality and a reduction in tree growth. Growth loss due to A. adopae is a serious plant pathogen that causes root and butt root. Volume loss has been shown as high as 40% over 4.8 years in eighteen-year-old Douglas-fir (Cruickshank 2000). Growth loss and mortality due to Armillaria in British Columbia causes annual losses between 1.4 and 3.8 million m³ (White and Morrison 1999). Studies have shown that geness of A. adopae can show varying levels of pathogenicity and virulence (Orndal et al. 1995, Morrison and Pellow 2002). However, little has been done to identify genetic differences among genets of A. adopae. Assessing these differences may be important in understanding 1) varying levels of pathogenicity and virulence within A. adopae, 2) phylogenetic relationships among A. adopae genets, and 3) adaptation to environmental factors.

Objectives

 Assess intraspecific genetic diversity and intraspecific hybridization within *A. outopae*, and examine for possible relationships to ecological function (e.g., pathogenicity, host specificity, habitat type), geographic origin, forest management practices (e.g., fertilization), and interactions among *Armillaria* genotypes.





-S. Kim - BOISE[®] Corporation

B.A. Fragpoon. Genet. D (Forganov et al. 2005)
Hornstrummin Horns [Ire N Funito, Long Massense, III.
G.I. McDonald. USDA (Force Service, IRMIS, Massense, ID, Kim et al. 2006)
H.A. Fragpoon. Montras Dept of Normal Resources, Monton, M.T.
H.S.D. Hornstrum, N. K. Martin Resources, Management, Malanow, WI, Kim et al. 2019, 101, Normer Neuron (International Science), Neuronal Science, Science, Management, Malanow, WI, Kim et al. 2011, Morenne (Neuron et al. 2006)
M. Kornstrum, M. K. Kim, and S. A. Statistical Science, Malanow, M. Kim et al. 2011, Markow, Markow, Markow, M. Kim, et al. 2011, Markow, M. Kim et al. 2011, Markow, Markow, Markow, M. Kim, et al. 2011, Markow, M. Kim, et al. 2011, Markow, Markow, Markow, M. Kim, et al. 2011, Markow, Markow, Markow, Markow, Markow, Markow, Markow, Markow, Markow, M. Kim, et al. 2011, Markow, Markow,

Figure 2. 50% majority rule consensus trees based on 24,000 trees from the Bayesian inference analysis of the IGS-1 spacer region. Scale bar = 0.1 substitutions per site



Figure 3a-b. (above)Radial 50% majority rule consensus trees based on 24,000 trees from the Bayesian inference analysis of 850 base-pairs in the nLSU rDNA region. Scale bar = 0.001 substitutions per site. 3a. (above-left) Heterogeneous sequences (in red text) included. 3b. (above-right) Heterogeneous sequences excluded. Table 2. (below) All variable nucleotide positions of aligned nLSU sequences.

CINET		1	<u> </u>	1	1		1	r –			-	-		-		-		-
GENEI	126bp	129bp	165bp	172-173bp	227bp	407bp	470bp	477bp	481bp	500bp	514bp	518bp	524bp	802bp	656bp	750bp	787bp	812bp
837-A***, D82-A***, POR100***, R1299, ST1, ST8*, ST11-A*, U5, U16	Α	т	С	GA	т	С	т	с	С	С	G	А	с	G	Α	А	т	т
ST11-B*	Α	т	С	GA	т	С	т	с	С	С	G	А	с	G	G	А	т	т
837-B***	A	С	С	GA	т	С	т	с	С	С	G	А	с	G	Α	А	т	т
D82-8***	Α	т	С	GA	т	С	т	с	С	С	G	G	с	G	Α	А	т	т
MNF4, NC94, NC540, NC765-A, P4352, R1348-A, R1389, SSF4-A, ST2	Α	т	С	GA	т	С	т	т	С	С	G	А	с	G	Α	А	т	т
NC765-B, P1404, R1348-B, R1389-B	Α	т	С	GA	т	С	т	т	С	A	G	А	с	G	Α	А	т	т
NC1126, SSF4-B	Α	т	С	GA	т	С	т	т	С	С	G	А	с	A	Α	А	т	т
BC18F-A, NC1070-A	A	т	Υ	GA	т	С	Т	т	С	С	G	A	С	G	A	A	т	T
BC18F-B, NC1070-B	A	т	Y	~~	т	С	т	т	С	С	G	A	С	G	Α	A	т	T
R1329	A	т	С	GA	Y	С	т	т	С	С	G	A	С	R	A	A	Т	T
OR1	A	т	С	GA	т	С	Т	Y	м	С	R	A	С	G	Α	A	т	Υ
OR10	A	т	С	GA	т	С	Т	Y	м	С	R	A	С	G	Α	A	т	Т
PC514	A	Т	С	GA	T	С	Т	Y	С	С	G	A	Y	G	A	A	T	T
NC837, R1330	A	Т	С	GA	T.	Υ	Υ	Y	С	С	G	A	Y	G	Α	A	Т	T
R1374	A	т	С	GA	т	Υ	Υ	Y	С	м	G	A	Y	G	A	A	т	T
NM115, NM120, TS7, U73	A	т	С	GA	т	С	т	с	С	С	G	А	т	G	Α	A	т	т
ST16**	G	т	С	GA	т	с	т	с	с	с	G	А	т	G	Α	G	т	т
C21**, M90**	A	т	С	GA	т	С	т	с	С	с	G	А	т	G	Α	А	С	т

Materials and Methods

PCR products from ribosomal DNA (rDNA) including nuclear large ribosomal subunit (nLSU), internal transcribed spacer (ITS), 5.88 rDNA, and intergenic spacer (IGS-1) were obtained from thirty-six genets of *Armillaria* by direct PCR method (mycelium scraped from pure culture was added directly to a PCR reaction mixture). Twenty-six of these genets were *A. subjue* from the western United States. Ten other genets, including two *A. subjue* genets from other geographical regions and eight genets from other *Armillaria* species, were used for phylogenic comparisons (Table 1). PCR products were purified and sequenced at Davis Sequencing, Inc. (Davis, CA). The sequences were edited by hand/eye with BioEdit software (Hall 1999) and manually aligned. When possible, heterogeneous sequences were split by one of the methods described in Figure 1 before phylogenetic analysis. The method described in Figure 1 b is similar to that of the mismatch amplification mutation assay (MAMA) method (Cha et al. 1992, Rauscher et al. 2002).

University of Idaho

Phylogenetic analysis was performed for each DNA region using MrBayes v3.0B4 (Huelsenbeck and Ranquist 2001) for Bayesian inference of phylogeny. Indels were treated as a single event and coded using the simple gap coding method (Simmons and Ochoterena 2000). To select a model for use in Bayesian inference, we used MrModeltest 1.0b (Nylander 2003). Four chains were run for three million generations generating files with thirty thousand trees, the first six thousand of these trees were discarded as the "burnin" of the chains. The remaining trees were used to make majority rule consensus trees.

Results and Discussion

Direct PCR has been shown to detect 90% of the heterogeneous rDNA products in an individual and the relative peak height seems to reflect relative concentrations (Rauscher et al. 2002). In this study, heterogeneous products indicating intraspecific and intragenomic variations were common in all regions analyzed, with the exception of the 5.8S rDNA. Figure 2 shows variation in the IGS-1 region that resulted in the formation of significant clades. Although the intergenic spacer regions are frequently viewed as non-functional genes, some suggest that variation in rDNA spacers has major influences on organism growth rates (Elser et al. 2000). Table 3 shows that a significant amount of variation exists in the LSU, a region more commonly thought to have functional importance. Figures 3a and 3b show the importance of using non-heterogeneous sequences in phylogenetic analysis. When heterogeneous sequences are included in phylogenetic analysis, the parental phylogenies become more ambiguous. Figure 3a includes heterogeneous sequences showing only one clade with a posterior probability of 64 percent. Figure 3b excludes the heterogeneous sequences, thereby improving the probability of the single clade to 98% and resolving a second clade with a probability of 98%. Continued work is underway at the USDA Forest Service - RMRS, Forestry Sciences Laboratory in Moscow, Idaho to analyze a greater number of A. ostoyae genets and examine how these genetic differences may relate to spatial distribution and ecological function.

Literature Cited

Burdsall, H.H., Jr. and Volk, T. J. 1993. The state of taxonomy of the genus Armillaria. McIlvainea 11:4-12.

Cha, R.S., Zarbl, H., Keohavong, P., and Thilly, W.G. 1992. Mismatch amplification mutation assay (MAMA); application to the c-H-ras gene. PCR Methods and Applications. 2:14-20

Cruickshank, M. 2000. Volume loss of Douglas-fir infected with *Armillaria ostoyae*. In Proceedings, From science to management and back a science forum for southern Interior ecosystems of British Glumbardia. C. Hollsteidt, K. Sutherland, and T. Innes (editori), Southern Interior Forest Extension and Research Partnership, Kamloops, B.C., pp. 127-9.

Elser, J.J., Sterner, R.W., Gorokhova, E., Fagen, W.F., Markow, T.A., Cotner, J.B., Harrison, J.F., Hobbie, S.E., Odell, G.M., and Weider, L.J. 2000 Biological stoichiometry from genes to ecosystems. Ecology Letters 3:540-550.

Ferguson, B.A., Dreisbach, T.A., Parks, C.G., Filip, G.M., and Schmitt, C.L. 2003. Coarse-scale population structure of pathogenic *Armiltaria* species in a mixed-conifer forest in the Blue Mountains of northeast Oregon. Canadian Journal of Forest Research 13(2):2633

Hall, T.A. 1999. BioEdit: a user-friendly biological sequence alignment editor and analysis program for Windows 95/98/NT. Nucl. Acids. Symp. Ser. 41:95-98.

Huselsenbeck, J.P., and Ronquist, F. 2001. MrBayes: Bayesian inference of phylogenetic trees. Biometrics 17:754-755.

Kim, M.-S., Klopfenstein, N.B., McDonald, G.I., Arumuganathan, K., and Vidaver, A.K. 2000. Characterization of North American Armillaria species by nuclear DNA content and RFLP analysis. Mycologia 92:874-883.

Morrison, D.J., and Pellow, K.W. 2002 Variation in virulence among isolates of Armillaria ostoyae. Forest Pathology 32:99-107

Nylander, J.A.A., 2003. MrModeltest 1.0b A simplified version of David Posada's "Modeltest 3.06". Department of Systematic Zoology. Uppsala, Sweden. http://www.ebc.uu.se/syst200/staff/nylander.html.

Omdal, D.W., Shaw, C.G., III, Jacobi, W.R., and Wager, T.C. 1995. Variation in pathogenicity and virulence of isolates of Armillaria ostoyae on eight tree species. Plant Disease 79:939-944.

Rauscher, J.T., Doyle, J.J., and Brown, H.D. 2002. Internal transcribed spacer repeat-specific primers and the analysis of hybridization in the *Glycine tomentella* (Leguminosae) polyploid complex. Molecular Ecology 11:2691-2702.

Simmons, M.P., Ochoterena, H. 2000. Gaps as characters in sequence-based phylogenetic analyses. Syst. Biol. 49: 369-381.